UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | · | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, | Case Number 🙋 | P-10-70675 PV7 |
| 7 | v | | |
| - | Sergio Fierro Defendant. | ORDER OF DET | ENTION PENDING TRIAL |
| F | In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Assistant U.S. Attorney PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE | § 3142(f), a detention h | earing was held on 4/12, 2010 United States was represented by |
| c o | / The defendant is charged with an offense described of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 31420 offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has ela from imprisonment, whichever is later. | f)(1) while on release per | iding trial for a federal, state or local |
| | This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no cosafety of any other person and the community. | ondition or combination o | f conditions will reasonably assure the |
| | / / There is probable cause based upon (the indiction defendant has committed an offense | nent) (the facts found in | Part IV below) to believe that the |
| | A for which a maximum term of impriso seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR | | Fire |
| sr | B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a fire This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no coappearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the | ndition or combination o | on of a felony. f conditions will reasonably assure he |
| | **No presumption applies. PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE | community. | NORTHERN COST ON WILL |
| | / / The defendant has not come forward with any e will be ordered detained. | vidence to rebut the appl | icable presumption of Carl he therefore |
| | / / The defendant has come forward with evidence | to rebut the applicable pr | esumption[s] to wit: |
| | Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United S PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR IN //-The United States has proved to a preponderance | NAPPLICABLE) e of the evidence that no | condition or combination of |
| CO | conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defen | dant as required, AND/C | OR . |
| | / / The United States has proved by clear and convi will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the | community. | |
| PA | PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF | | |
| at 1 | /#/The Court has taken into account the factors set of the factors set | out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142() IS Shandel we Lot. He has a su | th ayrolation of QUSC |
| Į. | to has a history of committing re | w ymes y | |
| D | o successed that ledes not | or cannot | emply with selling |
| PA | / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have war PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION | _ | conditions. |
| | The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne | ey General or his designa | ted representative for confinement in a |
| correc | rections facility separate to the extent practicable from person | s awaiting or serving sen | tences or being held in custody pending |
| appear | eal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity | for private consultation | with defense counsel. On order of a |
| deliver | rt of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the ver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purposition. | Covernment, the person Cof an appearance in cor | in charge of the corrections facility shall |
| Dated: | xd: 8/12/10 | Bahicia V | Municul |
| | | TRICIA V. TRUMBULI ited States Magistrate Jud | |

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ___